

Board Meeting
June 18-19, 2002

Agenda Item
Attachment 1

To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.


Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board
Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25)
1001 I Street
PO Box 4025
Sacramento CA 95812-4025

General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction Information and Certification			
All respondents must complete this section			
I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of:			
Jurisdiction Name		County	
City of Pico Rivera		Los Angeles	
Authorized Signature		Title	
		Director of Housing Services	
Type/Print Name of Person Signing	Date	Phone	
Bill Shannon	April 22, 2002	(562) 801-4388	
Person Completing This Form (please print or type)		Title	
Philip Tamayo		Environmental Coordinator	
Phone	E-mail Address	Fax	
(562) 801-4328	ptamayo@pico-rivera.org	(562) 949-7506	
Mailing Address	City	State	ZIP Code
6615 Parsons Blvd.	Pico Rivera	CA	90660

June 18-19, 2002 Section II—Cover Sheet

This cover sheet is to be completed for each Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR) requested.

1. Eligibility

Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are requesting an ADR)?

☐ No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR.

☒ Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.

2. Specific Request and Length of Request

Please specify the request desired.

☒ **Time Extension Request**

Specific years requested 2002

Is this a second request? ☒ No ☐ Yes Specific years requested.
(Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)

☐ **Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).**

Specific ADR requested %, for the years

Is this a second ADR request? ☐ No ☐ Yes Specific ADR requested %, for the years

(Note: Requests for an additional ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet 50% by the end of the first ADR period were not successful.)

Note: Extensions may be requested anytime by a jurisdiction, but will only be effective in the years from January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend beyond January 1, 2006.

Board Meeting
June 18-19, 2002

Agenda Item
Attachment 1

Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.
 - ☐ During the year 2000, the City has been working on tasks stipulated in the Board's Compliance order. This order delineated two main areas of work: 1) create a new Base Year to rectify inconsistency in the numbers; and 2) implement new programs per the City's SRRE, and the Assistance Plan.
 - ☐ The City has prepared a New Base Year Study (1999), conducted by Clements Environmental, and sponsored by the City and Cal-Met Services, the sole franchise hauler. The study shows that the City achieved a diversion rate of 32% in the year 1999.
 - ☐ The Annual Report for the year 2000 shows the City at a diversion rate of 46%.
 - ☐ Per the Assistance Plan, the City has implemented new programs:
 - ☐ Most importantly, the City and its franchised hauler, Cal-Met Services, implemented a two-barrel, automated collection system; one barrel will be for co-mingled recyclables, the other for trash. The existing manual curbside greenwaste collection program continues as is.
 - ☐ The City enacted a recycled content procurement policy
 - ☐ The City adopted a C&D ordinance that requires contractors to have a recycling program in place before they can be awarded contracts in the City.
 - ☐ In addition, the City has followed through in good faith on the following areas of program development and implementation delineated in the Assistance Plan:
 - ☐ Grasscycling
 - ☐ School diversion and education programs

The primary barriers identified by the City are:

Inability to form a political consensus within the city to provide containers for residential curbside collection.

Significant tonnage's being disposed through construction and demolition projects.

Compliance and organization of School District and Citywide grasscycling programs.

2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.

The City is close to achieving the mandated 50% goal and with the new curbside recycling program the City should attain the 50% goal in 2002.

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

The City has achieved all the mandates of its Compliance Order and Assistance Plan, conducting a New Base Year study, and implementing all of the specified new programs. Still, as of this date, the City is short of the 50% goal, achieving 46% in the year 2000. As the programs are implemented and mature, particularly the curbside program, the City should pass 50% diversion.

The City achieved a 32% diversion in 1999, and a 46% level in 2000, as shown in the Annual Reports

Factors that have stymied efforts to meet the 50% diversion level include:

- ☐ Lack of a comprehensive curbside recycling program
- ☐ Lack of funding for a recycling coordinator
- ☐ Insufficient support for the political mandate to launch expensive diversion programs.

The City is working to correct each of these points. A fully automated curbside program has been implemented. A full-time Environmental Coordinator has been hired. And finally, the City Council through the mechanism of the Compliance Order has mustered the support from its constituents to fund costly diversion programs.

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

N/A

Board Meeting
June 18-19, 2002

Agenda Item
Attachment 1

Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residential %		25%	Non-residential %		75%
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwm.ca.gov/ LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm					
2030-RC-OSP Commercial On-Site Pickup	EXPAND	<p>The City of Pico Rivera encourages businesses in the City to Recycle. Cal-Met Services, the City's franchised refuse collection company, provides free customized recycling consultation on a per-request basis that is coordinated with this office. A number of businesses in the City have been provided with containers for recycling.</p> <p>Cal-Met Services and the City of Pico Rivera collaborate on the outreach of business recycling. Cal-Met has a marketing team that targets businesses in the City. Attention is given to businesses that have an overwhelming need of multiple pick-ups weekly. Information is distributed through the Environmental Coordinator at City wide events and through advertising. WRAP applications are always available at events as well. The Environmental Coordinator is also researching ways to encourage businesses into recycling cardboard and other recyclable products.</p> <p>In addition, the City of Pico Rivera is making an effort to maximize recycling of office waste within City Hall. Recycling receptacles are placed next to the trash bins in each office and cubicle. A 33-gallon container has also been placed in the employee lunchroom for the collection of CRV, glass and soda cans. A smaller 15-gallon container is used for news paper recycling. The City also segregates and recycles computer paper, color paper, white ledger paper, and cardboard.</p>	Cal_met	12-2002	1.0
5030-ED-SCH Schools (education and curriculum)	EXPAND	Existing programs at select schools will be expanded District-wide. Programs are currently being redesigned for a more efficient way to recycle within the school districts perview. Recycling items such as old books, classroom and office paper, cafeteria scraps, crv, and soda cans, including grasscycling.	Cal-Met Services	12-2002	0.2
1000-SR-XGC Xeriscaping, Grasscycling	EXPAND	<p>Coordination of Grasscycling with County program: new web site update; education.</p> <p>Grasscycling is currently taking place on City owned properties, El Rancho Unified School District, County owned properties and the City's public Golf Course. The total turf area of grasscycling is more than 281 acres.</p>	General Fund	12-2002	0.2
6020-PI-ORD Ordinances	NEW	New C&D ordinance requires a 50% diversion level for demolition construction projects with a valuation of \$100,000 or more. This ordinance, took effect as of September 19, 2001.	General Fund	12-2002	2.0

Board Meeting
June 18-19, 2002

Agenda Item -
Attachment 1

2000-RC-CRB Residential Curbside	NEW	Residential areas previously had only greenwaste collection. This program adds automated commingled recyclables collection. The City of Pico Rivera started Residential Co-Mingled Refuse Collection on July 23, 2001. Each home was supplied with one 33-gallon green waste container, one 65-gallon co-mingled recycling container and one 95-gallon trash container. Additional greenwaste and co-mingled recycling containers are given to residents upon their request at no charge.	Increased Collection Fees	12-2002	8.0
Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs					9.4
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report					46
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated					55

PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED
5020-ED-OUT Outreach (technical assistance, presentations ect..)	EXPAND	The new, full-time Environmental Coordinator will focus on education of key commercial, industrial and institutional generators. The New Base Year Generation Study will provide a good basis for ongoing work to reach th 50% level.	January 2002
1030-SR-PMT Procurement	EXPAND	The City of Pico Rivera has developed a procurement policy that was formally adopted by the City Council on May 7, 2001. This policy was submitted to the California Integrated Waste Management Board in August of 2001. All City departments, whenever feasible and practicable, shall procure and use recycled products and materials to meet department needs. The purchase of these products shall be dependent on satisfactory product performance and reasonable competitive prices.	May 2001